

SOUTH YORKSHIRE FIRE AND RESCUE AUTHORITY

10 FEBRUARY 2020

PRESENT: Councillor R Taylor (Chair)
Councillor T Damms (Vice-Chair)
Councillors: S Ayris, A Buckley, T Cave, R Frost, P Haith,
C Hogarth, P Price, C Ross and Dr A Billings

CFO A Johnson, T/ACO S Helps and S Booth
(South Yorkshire Fire & Rescue Service)

A Frosdick, M McCarthy, N Copley, L Noble and M McCool
(Barnsley MBC)

M Butter
(Office of the South Yorkshire Police and Crime Commissioner)

Apologies for absence were received from
Councillor C Ransome, Councillor S M Richards, S Loach,
M Potter, S Norman and T/DCFO T Carlin

1 APOLOGIES

Apologies for absence were noted as above.

2 ANNOUNCEMENTS

In her absence, Councillor Richards asked the Chair to convey to Members that she considered SYFR's new Lesbian Gay Bisexual Transgender (LGBT) campaign to be excellent. The campaign was available to view via www.youtube.com.

3 URGENT ITEMS

None.

4 ITEMS TO BE CONSIDERED IN THE ABSENCE OF THE PUBLIC AND PRESS

None.

5 DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST BY INDIVIDUAL MEMBERS IN RELATION TO ANY ITEM OF BUSINESS ON THE AGENDA

None.

6 REPORTS BY MEMBERS

Councillor Haith referred to the recent Treasury Management training session that she had attended. She considered that the session had been very informative and had provided an understanding of the technical jargon which would hopefully assist Members in making better informed decisions at meetings.

Councillor Buckley congratulated SYFR on attending the recent Holocaust Memorial Day.

Councillor Damms had attended a lobby at the Houses of Parliament on 14 January 2020 together with Councillor Taylor, Trade Union representatives, and a number of MP's including Clive Betts MP and Ed Miliband MP. He was disappointed that the current Policing and Fire Minister, Kit Malthouse MP, or his representatives had been in attendance. He considered that the lobby had been a worthwhile event in the pursuit of a fairer system. A silent walk had also been undertaken in remembrance of the Grenfell Tower incident.

Councillor Taylor thanked N Carbutt, FBU Brigade Secretary, for organising the lobby which had been well structured, and had included a number of press and television interviews which had been a good step forward in terms of lobbying for the Fire and Rescue Service.

7 RECEIPT OF PETITIONS

None.

8 TO RECEIVE ANY QUESTIONS OR COMMUNICATIONS FROM THE PUBLIC, OR COMMUNICATIONS SUBMITTED BY THE CHAIR OR THE CLERK AND TO PASS SUCH RESOLUTIONS THEREON AS THE STANDING ORDERS PERMIT AND AS MAY BE DEEMED EXPEDIENT

None.

9 MINUTES OF THE AUTHORITY MEETING HELD ON 13 JANUARY 2020

M McCarthy referred to Item 1 on the Action Table 'to provide Members with feedback from the dedicated comprehensive spending review team for the sector'. He requested that the timescale of the action be amended to reflect that the information would be provided to Members when the work was complete, due to it being a piece of work that may take some time.

RESOLVED – That the minutes of the Authority meeting held on 13 January 2020 be signed by the Chair as a correct record.

10 STATE OF FIRE AND RESCUE REPORT

A report of the Chief Fire Officer and Chief Executive was submitted to provide Members with an overview of the State of Fire and Rescue Report which had been released on 15 January 2020, by Her Majesty's Chief Inspector of Fire and Rescue Services (HMICFRS), Sir Thomas Winsor, together with the subsequent actions to

be taken by SYFR. The report highlighted that fire and rescue services had much to celebrate, although there were a number of areas for concern i.e. a lack of focus on building safety, barriers to becoming more effective and efficient, a lack of diversity across the workplace and in some instances a 'toxic' bullying culture. Four recommendations had been detailed within the report for the wider fire sector. Members would be provided with further updates when more information was received in respect of the four recommendations.

Councillor Ayris referred to the operational independence for Chief Fire Officers which would be considered by the Home Office by September 2020. He sought clarity on what was recommended and the benefits. He also queried whether there was a timescale for the production of the action plan.

CFO Johnson referred to a recent National Fire Chiefs' Council (NFCC) meeting which had highlighted the different levels of independence for Chief Fire Officers across the country, dependent upon the governance structures and other contributory factors. In the first instance, the NFCC would produce a paper on the meaning of operational independence, but it is accepted that there was a need to standardise independence across the sector. The action plan would be presented to SYFR's Senior Leadership Team this week, following which a formal action plan would be presented to Members at either a Corporate Advisory Group (CAG) or Performance and Scrutiny Board (P&S).

Councillor Taylor requested that Members be provided with an update in respect of the action plan at each Authority meeting.

RESOLVED – That Members noted the contents of the report and approved further actions to enable service improvement.

11 FINANCIAL PERFORMANCE REPORT - LATEST FORECAST FOR THE FINANCIAL YEAR ENDED 31ST MARCH 2020

A report of the Chief Fire Officer and Chief Executive and Clerk and Treasurer was presented as the third report in a series of reports that Members received throughout the financial year, to inform as to the likely financial performance for the year ended 31 March 2020. The report included an estimated revenue outturn position, an updated reserves position statement, an update on the Capital Programme and a narrative commentary to provide an explanation of the key financial changes that were contributing to each of them.

Members recalled the 2019/20 approved operating budget of £53.565m. There was a projected net operating spend of £53.588m based upon the latest expenditure and planned contributions from earmarked reserves. Members noted the latest funding projection of £54.222m, a £0.657m increase leaving a net operating underspend of £0.634m after any planned contributions to/from reserves.

Councillor Ayris referred to the premises overspend of £0.217m. He queried what measures would be implemented to control the trend which was expected to continue for at least the remainder of the year, and more than likely into the next year.

S Booth referred to SYFR's aspiration, as part of the vision for Estates and Facilities Management, to provide fit for purpose facilities on fire stations. Members had previously been informed that the estate had not been maintained as well as it should have been, which had led to a large number of legacy issues. Estate condition improvement works for phases 1 to 3 were being undertaken, with phase 3 of the works to shortly commence on site, to address those legacy issues. SYFR had previously had a policy of not having planned maintenance. When the contract with Tomlinsons had commenced in November 2018, discussions had focused upon implementing a strategy and moving towards prevention rather than response. It has been recognised that this would take a period of time, and it was envisaged that the legacy issues in terms of capital investment would have been resolved by the end of 2021, as part of the estate condition works and the new capital programme. L Murray had instructed the Estates and Facilities Management team to focus on essential priority works across the estate, rather than those works that may be desirable.

Councillor Hogarth referred to the introduction of Telematics across the fleet which was progressing albeit slower than expected, due to the need to fully involve representative bodies and introduce new policies surrounding its introduction and future use.

S Booth said there were ongoing meetings with the representative bodies on this issue. Delays had been incurred as SYFR was not in control of the procurement framework, which South Yorkshire Police (SYP) had initially signed up to as part of the national framework. The Telematics had now been fully installed at SYP, and SYFR was awaiting the installation of Telematics.

Dr Billings highlighted the huge difference that Telematics had made to the SYP vehicle fleet, which had enabled more efficient use of its vehicles and fuel. He queried the process of the Government's Bellwin Fund, how the excess had been formulated and whether Central Government had a separate funding stream for Bellwin.

N Copley stated that anything over 0.5% of the budget (over £107k) would be eligible for excess.

S Booth commented that he was unsure whether Central Government had a separate funding stream for the Bellwin Fund. He assumed that the Treasury would have a significant amount of funding set aside to which each department could draw upon if such a contingency was required. SYFR would have to meet the first £107k in line with the qualifying criteria of the Fund. It was hoped that the remainder of the monies would be returned to SYFR, as the majority of SYFR's dependency and the majority of costs during the recent floods had been attributable to other fire and rescue services.

RESOLVED – That Members:-

- i) Noted and considered the latest estimated revenue performance, which was showing a potential net operating underspend of £0.634m for the financial year ended 31 March 2020.

- ii) Noted the latest estimated increase in General Reserve of £0.374m for the financial year ended 31 March 2020.
- iii) Noted the likely net impact of known financial risks where it was currently not possible to fully quantify at this stage in the financial year.
- iv) Noted the re-profiling of the Capital Programme for 2019/20 into future years as detailed in the 2020/21 Annual Revenue Budget and Council Tax Setting Report, which was a separate agenda item at the meeting.

12 2020/21 ANNUAL REVENUE BUDGET AND COUNCIL TAX SETTING

A report of the Clerk and Treasurer and Chief Fire Officer and Chief Executive was submitted to seek Authority approval to the 2020/21 revenue budget and to set the council tax and precept by 28 February 2020.

Councillor Ross welcomed the Authority's position in respect of increased Government funding. He considered the Pension Deficit Grant to be the big issue, and was aware that formal confirmation had been received for 2020/21 in respect of the funding. However, the position for 2021/22 was uncertain. Councillor Ross enquired as to whether the Business Rate Levy for 2020/21, once confirmed, would be a positive figure. He also queried whether SYFR would be changing the size of the hose reels which was currently 22mm. In relation to borrowing, he queried whether there would be a change of policy, or whether long term loans would be taken out before an increase in the interest rates. He was pleased to observe that the amount for total investments in foreign countries had been reduced from £20m to £5m. He commented that the variance between the different levels of council tax of 1.5% to 1.9% totalled £129,862.

S Booth stated that the pension grant had been a long running issue. The Home Office's financial advisor had announced on the day of the finance settlement, that the Minister had approved the pension grant received in 2019/20 of £2.756m which would be continued into 2020/21; no indication had been made regarding the value for future years. Luke Edwards, Director of Fire and Resilience within the Home Office, had stressed his preference to 'roll up' the pension grant into the RSG Government grant settlement. The best case scenario for SYFR's Medium Term Financial Plan (MTFP) was that the £2.756m would be rolled up into 2020/21 or 2021/22 and be subject to a 5% reduction moving forwards. The compounding issue was the extent to which the Treasury considered the sector was efficient, or whether it considered that the sector needed to become more efficient.

The State of Fire and Rescue Report and the HMICFRS had indicated a requirement for the sector to demonstrate that it was efficient, or that it had plans in place to make it more efficient. Until that time, the Treasury may consider that the sector had sufficient funding, and could potentially allow the sector to protect that funding or to prevent the funding from being grown further. The matter was further compounded by the pensions issues nationally. Remedy meetings were being held around the McCloud and Sargent Cases, as a result of which SYFR's pension liabilities would increase. An estimate had been made, as part of SYFR's annual accounts which were undertaken last year, whilst working with the Government's

Actuary, to ascertain the impact on SYFR's overall liabilities for the pension fund, which equated to a cost of £67m which was a significant increase. In relation to the Business Rates Levy, a figure had been removed from the report, as an announcement had been anticipated from Government. Notification from the Government was now expected in April/May 2020, and a report would be brought back to the Authority at that point, which was expected to be a positive amount for the Business Rates Levy.

N Copley stated that although there were no guarantees, a suggestion had been made that the Business Rates Levy could be 25% of the levels of last year.

Members noted that the new midi fire appliance utilised the 22mm hose reel, the effectiveness of which was being ascertained to determine whether it should be replicated across SYFR's other fire appliances.

S Booth agreed with Councillor Ross' calculations of the difference between the different levels of council tax which equated to £129,862. He stated that, in relation to capital borrowing against the reserve, like most local authorities over the past years, SYFR had utilised its cash reserves instead of borrowing money. Twelve months ago, SYFR had been in a position where the under borrowing had been approximately £13m, which had placed the Service on the riskier side should the interest rates start to change. It had been agreed through the Treasury Management Strategy, which had been agreed at the Audit and Governance Committee, to reduce the under borrowing position over a 12 month or so period. Borrowing had been taken out to reduce the under borrowing position to approximately £6m/£7m. N Copley as Treasurer, had been keen to keep to the current under borrowing position, which reflected the Service's general and earmarked reserves. The expectation was that when SYFR looked to seek additional approvals for capital investment that money would need to be borrowed as the capital investment reserve would be depleted.

Councillor Ayris referred to a question that he had raised at the Authority meeting held in November 2019 in relation to the MTFP, and the Reserves Strategy. He had been informed that the Strategy would not be revisited until November 2020. He therefore queried whether the report presented was premature in asking Members to reaffirm the Reserves Strategy. He sought clarification as to the mechanism in place for Members to keep the Reserves Strategy under review up to the end of November 2020.

N Copley confirmed that the Authority could revisit the Reserves Strategy at any point during the year.

Members noted that working on a 12 month period of November to November each year as a forerunner to the budget process, was a formal process for the MTFP to be brought to Members for consideration, whilst providing an opportunity for SYFR to plan the budget strategy. There was an opportunity throughout the year for Members to consider the reserves, as part of the budget monitoring process. Members would be asked to consider the reserves position as part of the outturn report presented to the Authority in June 2020, which would set out the Service's revised expectations to a contribution to those reserves. The MTFP set out a 3 year profile of reserves together with an expectation position at the end of 2023.

S Booth would include a revised update on the reserves profile within the Outturn Report to be presented to the Authority meeting in June 2020. He considered that quarterly or 6 monthly reviews of the reserves was adequate.

N Copley stated that in relation to the longer term Reserves Strategy, clarity was needed over the funding position, which would be provided to Members if the information was available before June 2020.

Dr Billings thanked S Booth and N Copley for the comprehensiveness and clarity of the report which highlighted the potential risks and dangers to SYFR's budget. He considered that the Service was moving into the future with many unknowns. In relation to approving an increase in Council Tax, he highlighted the need to be mindful that South Yorkshire was a very poor part of the country, with most properties falling within Bands A and B. He queried whether the Audit and Governance Committee considered the potential risks and dangers to SYFR's budget.

Members noted that dependent upon the issue, the Performance and Scrutiny Board, Audit and Governance Committee or the Authority would consider SYFR's risks in more detail. The Audit and Governance Committee's remit included a quarterly review of SYFR's risks.

Councillor Haith queried how much the Authority's figures and charges had increased as part of the budget setting process.

S Booth stated that the figures and charges had been increased by inflation. In 2019, a review had been undertaken to ensure that a cost recovery model was in place and that it had been increased by the cost of inflation.

Councillor Frost expressed his thanks to S Booth for the comprehensive report provided, and he was pleased to note that the budget had provided for an additional 24 firefighters. He also gave thanks to the officers who had delivered the recent Treasury Management training session, which had assisted in increasing his knowledge and understanding in this area.

Councillor Ross proposed an amendment to the recommendations, in view of the fact that the previous report on the agenda had referred to a surplus from the outturn, whilst taking into account a potential windfall and the burden on the council tax payer. He therefore proposed an amendment to Recommendation F to approve a Council Tax Increase of 1.5% for 2020/21.

Councillor Ayris seconded Councillor Ross' amendment to Recommendation F.

Councillor Damms stated that he hoped the proposed amendment to Recommendation F would not be passed. It was prudent to bear in mind that there was no certainty to SYFR's funding.

Dr Billings added that the proposals erred on the side of prudence, and felt that any deviation from the proposals would be irresponsible.

Councillor Buckley stated that he did not agree to the proposed amendment to Recommendation F.

A vote was taken to the proposed amendment to Recommendation F.

Councillors Ross and Ayris voted in favour of the proposed amendment.

The amendment to Recommendation F was not supported.

RESOLVED – That Members:-

- i) Approved the Authority's 2020/21 Revenue Budget at Appendix A.
- ii) Noted the Treasurer's Section 25 Statement (Section H – paragraphs 64 to 78) in support of the budget.
- iii) Approved the Capital Investment Strategy (Appendix B) including the updated Capital Programme and new approvals as set out in Section E of the report.
- iv) Approved the Treasury Management and Investment Strategy at Appendix C.
- v) Approved the fees and charges schedule for 2020/21 at Appendix D.
- vi) Approved a Council Tax Increase of 1.99% for 2020/21.

13 PAY POLICY STATEMENT

A report of the Chief Fire Officer and Chief Executive was submitted to provide the revised Pay Policy Statement for the Authority for 2019/20 for consideration, as required under the Localism Act 2011.

RESOLVED – That Members approved the Pay Policy Statement.

14 SAFER STRONGER COMMUNITIES RESERVE (SSCR) FUND UPDATE

A report of the Deputy Clerk was presented which provided an update on the current spend status of the Stronger Safer Communities Reserve Fund (SSCR), including the Sprinkler Fund, and provided further information regarding the c£422k of SSCR residual funds.

Members recalled that at the Authority's Ordinary Meeting held in June 2019, the Authority had authorised an allocation c£415k to a Safer Homes South Yorkshire initiative, with any remaining residual funding to form a contribution to the Referral Partnerships Project. Following a tendering exercise, the Yorkshire Children's Centre had been identified as the preferred bidder. However, following the retirement of the SSCR Programme Manager, a delay had ensued in the implementation of the decision, which had been resolved upon identification of the issue, and a schedule of works had been developed to commence with the Yorkshire Children's Centre in January 2020. Prior to the signing of the contracts in December 2019, the Yorkshire Children's Centre had confirmed that it was unable to fulfil the proposed contract. At the Corporate Advisory Group meeting held in

December 2019, Members had been made aware of the position and the requirement to seek Authority approval as to how the residual funding could be best invested.

Councillor Ayris supported the recommendations within the report, but he was disappointed that the Safer Homes South Yorkshire initiative had been unsuccessful.

Councillor Haith queried how the £309k funding to support the South Yorkshire Fire Partnership Referral Project would be utilised.

T/ACO Helps stated that the South Yorkshire Fire Partnership Referral Project would look to progress activity with key partners across South Yorkshire, many within the health and social care sector, to ensure that SYFR's Home Safety Checks and the Safe and Well visits were offered to the most vulnerable people. This would be a 3 year programme to strengthen and increase the relationships with partners around assisting individuals that were injured due to fires. The funding would enable SYFR staff, predominately FCSO's, to be moved into a particular role, whilst backfilling individuals into those roles.

Councillor Price welcomed the recommendation within the report to approve any further residual funding (c£113k) to be reallocated to the SSCR Sprinkler Fund. He queried whether the apartment block in Sheffield, which was the tallest block of apartments in South Yorkshire that had recently been approved, would automatically include sprinklers or whether future funding would be required for the resource.

T/ACO Helps stated that the specification for the apartment block in Sheffield had been agreed by Sheffield City Council's Planning Department. SYFR's Business Safety Team would enforce certain regulations, although most regulations would relate to the Codes of Practice. Members noted that the funding had been set aside by the Authority to encourage both developers and owners of buildings which were occupied by vulnerable tenants, or local authorities to show them the benefits of utilising sprinkler systems, which would ensure greater safety for the occupiers of the premises, reduce the cost of damage in the event of a fire, and keep SYFR staff safer when responding to such incidents. SYFR was one of the leading fire and rescue services across the country for sprinklers and the advocacy provided across the National Fire Chiefs Council (NFCC). The funding allocated had seen approximately £2m investment made locally; future investment would be made by partners. T/ACO Helps was happy to discuss the issue in more detail with Councillor Price outside of the meeting.

Councillor Damms requested an explanation as to why individuals would be reluctant to install the sprinkler systems into high rise properties.

T/ACO Helps stated that the reluctance related to the legislation. Developers would only complete the required work, rather than works over and above the initial investment. It had been observed that the Kelham Mount high rise building, which was the first high rise building to have been retrofitted with sprinklers across the country, had been done so at a cost of just over £1,000 per domestic flat. It was envisaged that moving forwards, builders would recognise that by installing

sprinkler systems into premises at the outset, it would enable other allowances to be made within their design features. SYFR worked with builders and developers to ensure they were aware of the benefits of installation at the build stage. R Brason was SYFR's Sprinkler Advocate and a leading expert in this field nationally.

Councillor Damms queried whether the insurance premiums would be lower if a sprinkler system had been installed from the outset.

T/ACO Helps stated that he was unsure whether the installation of a sprinkler system would attract a cheaper insurance premium, but he assumed so. He would provide Members with a briefing note to provide further clarity on the installation of the sprinkler systems. Through the SSCR, the Sprinkler Fund focused upon those homes for the most vulnerable in South Yorkshire, and this was a major consideration in considering funding applications.

Dr Billings referred to the issues raised previously at the Authority meetings regarding the high rise buildings in Sheffield, and particularly in light of the Grenfell Tower incident. He was unsure where the different responsibilities lay between SYFR and the local authorities, and how closely the Service was involved with the planning permissions for high rise buildings received at the local authorities. He suggested that a comprehensive report be presented regarding the responsibilities of SYFR and the local authorities, and to determine whether the Authority had a view on the role that SYFR should play when high rise buildings were built.

T/ACO Helps suggested that the Corporate Advisory Group would be the appropriate forum to discuss the matter in greater detail.

RESOLVED – That Members:-

- i) Noted the updated position for the SSCR fund.
- ii) Noted the matters which affected the Safer Homes South Yorkshire initiative.
- iii) Approved the use of £309k funding to support the South Yorkshire Fire Partnership Referral Project.
- iv) Approved any further residual funding (c£113k) being reallocated to the SSCR Sprinkler Fund.
- v) Noted the residual amount may change as final accounts were settled with the Round 3 Small Grants Projects.
- vi) Noted that the funding of the Partnership Referral Project from residual SSCR funding would result in the £260k contribution from general reserves (as agreed at the June 2019 SYFRA) no longer being required.
- vii) Agreed that the issue of sprinklers, liaison with builders/developers and local authorities, together with the national position, would be discussed at a future Corporate Advisory Group meeting.

15 PERFORMANCE MANAGEMENT FRAMEWORK FOR 2020/21

A report of the Chief Fire Officer and Chief Executive and Clerk to the Fire and Rescue Authority was submitted to present the SYFR Performance Management Framework for 2020/21, which was reviewed annually to ensure that it reflected the Service's key priorities whilst enabling the outcomes related to those priorities to be monitored. It had been determined that a Task and Finish Group would undertake an in-depth review of the Performance Management Framework during 2020/21.

T/ACO Helps stated that the review had already commenced. It was intended to present the information to Members at the Corporate Advisory Group (CAG) for debate over the next 12 month period, to enable the Performance Management Framework to be shaped, prior to Authority approval.

Councillor Cave queried whether any Members had been invited to sit on the Task and Finish Group.

T/ACO Helps commented that the Task and Finish Group consisted of members of the SYFR Data Performance Team, but he was happy to extend the offer to Members.

Councillor Taylor sought a Member volunteer to sit on the Task and Finish Group.

Councillor Cave volunteered to become a member of the Task and Finish Group.

RESOLVED – That Members agreed that:-

- i) The Performance Management Framework for 2020/21 should remain the same as for 2019/20.
- ii) A Task and Finish Group would carry out an in-depth review of the Performance Management Framework during 2020/21.
- iii) Councillor Cave would become a member of the Task and Finish Group.

16 DRAFT MINUTES OF THE AUDIT AND GOVERNANCE COMMITTEE MEETING HELD ON 13 JANUARY 2020

RESOLVED – That Members noted the draft minutes of the Audit and Governance Committee held on 13 January 2020.

17 DRAFT MINUTES OF THE YORKSHIRE AND HUMBER EMPLOYERS ASSOCIATION MEETING HELD ON 16 JANUARY 2020

RESOLVED – That Members noted the draft minutes of the Yorkshire and Humber Employers Association Meeting held on 16 January 2020.

18 LOCAL PENSION BOARD KEY ISSUES AND MINUTES OF THE LAST MEETING HELD ON 23 JANUARY 2020

Councillor Frost raised a question arising from the recent Local Pension Board meeting, in relation to the Authority’s budget setting and the risk of the recalculation of the McCloud and Sargent Case. Members noted that SYFR’s HR Department may require temporary assistance with the additional workload associated with the Transitional Protection Judgement.

RESOLVED – That Members noted the draft minutes of the Local Pension Board held on 23 January 2020.

Action Table

No.	Action	Timescale	Officer(s)	Status/ Update
1	To provide Members with feedback from the dedicated comprehensive spending review team for the sector.	When complete	CFO Johnson	
2	The Authority to have sight of the action plan, following a detailed review of the HMICFRS inspection report.	ASAP	T/GM Rowland	
3	A report to be presented to the Authority to highlight the comments made by the inspector on the HMICFRS inspection report and the progress made to address the areas of concern.	ASAP	T/GM Rowland	This may form part of Action 2 above (reporting of the action plan).
4	The Authority to be provided with information on any additional learning points that had been ascertained from the review of all of the tranche 3 HMICFRS inspection reports from the other fire and rescue services.	ASAP	T/GM Rowland	This may form part of Action 2 above (reporting of the action plan).

Fire and Rescue Authority
Monday 10 February 2020

No.	Action	Timescale	Officer(s)	Status/ Update
5	To provide Members with an update and a formal action plan arising from the State of Fire and Rescue Report to either CAG or P&S Board.	ASAP	CFO Johnson	
6	A report to be provided to Members on the Business Rates Levy following notification from the Government expected in April/May 2020.	FRA 22-06-20		
7	To include a revised update on the reserves profile within the Outturn Report.	FRA 22-06-20 (Ordinary)	S Booth	
8	To provide Members with a briefing note to provide further clarity on the installation of the sprinkler systems – to include the role and responsibilities of Government, SYFR, local authorities, builders developers etc.	ASAP	T/ACO Helps	Due to the COVID-19 situation, a presentation will be made to a future Stakeholder Planning Board meeting.
9	To present information to Members over the next 12 months to enable the Performance Management Framework to be shaped, prior to Authority approval.	CAG (timescales to be determined)	T/ACO Helps	

CHAIR

Please follow the link below for further information on the updates of the actions arising from the Fire and Rescue Authority meetings:-

<https://meetings.southyorks.gov.uk/ecSDDisplayClassic.aspx?NAME=SD1250&ID=1250&RPID=402996&sch=doc&cat=13039&path=13039&zTS=D>